TITLE	Merging the missing "997" values into the VDSL2 model		
PROJECT	SpM-2 (study point SP2-10)		
AUTHOR:	Rob van den Brink – TNO. (Ra	pporteur	· SpM)
SOURCE:	Rob F. M. van den Brink, tel +31.15.2857059 TNO, KPN fax: +31.15.2857375 PO Box 5050 e-mail: Rob.vandenBrink@tno.nl 2600 GB Delft The Netherlands Figure 100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100		
SUPPORTED BY: John MacDonald, BT			
STATUS	for decision, and inclusion into SpM-2		

1 Introduction

BT elaborated in TD26 of this meeting (074t26) on the "997" numbers that are currently lacking in the VDSL2 transmitter model for SpM-2. We appreciate this effort, and the proposed values are considered as "editorial" in nature since they are intended to be fully in line with the ITU standard. However, when trying to merge the numbers into the draft SpM-2 document, we observed several editorial issues that need a solution. The chosen convention of grouping values into a singled "subband" is sometimes a bit "weird", while several other choices appears to be superfluous. In other words: some additional editorial effort will result in a significant simplification of these numbers.

The text below shows the merger. Everything in blue or red represent the proposed additions, but everything in red needs your special attention. The intention of this contribution is to highlight the problem and to have it resolved.

Start of literal text proposal

1.1.1 0.0.1 Pre-defined downstream tables for "PSD Band Constructor"

The PSD band constructor in building block #1 can be controlled via an arbitrary number of PSD bands. Pre-defined PSD bands for downstream transmission are summarized in table 2 to 6 and specified by means of breakpoints. Each PSD band has its own (unique) identifier (summarized in table 1), for convenient referencing. A full VDSL2 transmit signal can be built-up from a proper selection of these PSD bands. Examples of meaningful combinations can be found in table 13. The values are constructed from the breakpoints of G993.2 masks [2], roughly by correcting 3.5dB difference between mask and template for in-band frequencies, and roughly by correcting the PSD according to the constraints in 1 MHz resolution bands for out-of-band frequencies. In addition, some of the pre-defined values are adjusted via a pragmatic compromise between simplicity and ITU details. The values associated with in-band frequencies are highlighted, for convenient interpretation of these tables.

Table 1: Summary of pre-defined PSD bands, for downstream.

downstream	downstream	Remarks on the naming convention	
identifiers	identifiers		
for PSD bands	for PSD bands		
(Bandplan 998)	(Bandplan 997)		
DS11 A 998	, ,,	I = (Iower part, <2.2M), A = (over POTS, like in annex A of [5])	
DS1L B 998		I = (lower part, <2.2M), $B = (over ISDN, like in annex B of [5])$	
DS1U.M1 998		U = (upper part, >2.2M). M1=(name for regular mask)	
DS1U.M2_998	Tables defining	U = (upper part, >2.2M), M2=(name for boosted mask)	
DS2.M1 998	PSD bands	M1=(name for regular mask)	
DS2.M2 998	suitable for band	M2=(name for boosted mask)	
DS3 998.E17	plan 997 are left	E17=(extended up to 17 MHz)	
DS3 998.ADE17	for further study	E17=(extended up to 17 MHz)	
DS3 998.E30	,	E30=(extended up to 30 MHz)	
DS3 998.ADE30		E30=(extended up to 30 MHz)	
DS4_998.E30		E30=(extended up to 30 MHz)	
NOTE: $ADE = AE$	symmetric Downstre	cam Extension	
downstroom		Pomarks on the naming convention	
identifiers		Remarks on the naming convention	
for PSD bands			
		Bandalan 008	
	L - (lower part	A = (aver BOTS ike in appear A of [5])	
DSTL.A_990	L = (lower part, < l = (lower part, <	(2.2M), $A = (0.001 \text{ FOTS}, 11\text{ ke in annex A of [5]})$	
DS1L.D_990	L = (10 were part, < 10 mm)	(2.2N) , $\mathbf{D} = (0.001130\text{N})$, like in annex \mathbf{D} of (5)	
DS10.WI1_990	U = (upper part, U = (upper part)	>2.2M), M1=(name for boosted mask)	
DS10.1012_990	O = (upper part, M1 (nome for re	<pre>>2.2(VI), VI2=(ITATHE TOT DOOSTED THASK) gular maak)</pre>	
DS2.M1_990	M2 (name for he	guiar mask)	
DS2.M2_998	MZ=(name for bo		
DS3_998.E17	E17=(extended l	ip to 17 MHz)	
DS3_998.ADE17	E17=(extended t	ip to 17 MHz), ADE = <u>A</u> symmetric <u>D</u> ownstream <u>Extension</u>	
DS3_998.E30	E30=(extended l	IP to 30 MHZ)	
DS3_996.ADE30	E30=(extended t	ip to 30 Mile), ADE = <u>A</u> symmetric <u>D</u> ownstream <u>Extension</u>	
D34_990.E30		Pendalan 007	
D041 A 007	l (lever a set	Bandpian 997	
DS1L.A_997	L = (lower part, <	(2.2M), A = (over POTS, like in annex A of [5])	
DS1L.M_M1_997	L = (Lower part,	<2.2M), M = (extended upstream, like in Annex M of [5])	
	IVIT = (Iname for	regular mask)	
DS1L.M_M2_997	L = (Lower part,	<2.2M), M = (extended upstream, like in Annex M of [5])	
	$W_2 = (Warne for the second $	2 2M) UDE (Lich Dees Extension)	
DSTL_997.HPE	L = (Lower part, This representation	<2.21VI), HPE = (High Pass Extension) reside floor "NE 007" (at 100 dBm (Up) do we really need it 202	
	This represents i	Noise moor NF_997 (at $-1000Bm/Hz$), do we really need it ???	
DS10.A_997	U = (Upper part, Upper part,	>2.2NI), A=(??)	
DS10.W_997	U = (Upper part, Upper part,	>2.2(VI), $M=(??)$	
DS10.W2_997	U = (Upper part, Upper part,	>2.2(VI), MZ = (Name for boosted mask) $\sim 2.2(M)$, HDE (High Deep Extension)	
DS10_997.HPE	U = (Upper part, This representation	>2.2(VI), HPE = (High Pass Extension) raise floor "NE - 0.07" (at - 4.00 d Dm (LE)) do we really need it 202	
D004 007		noise noor NF_997 (at =1000Bm/Hz), do we really need it ???	
DS2A_997	A=(??)		
		no Extension)	
DS2_997.HPE	HPE = (High Pas	ss Extension)	
DS3_997.E	E=(Extended)	IDE (Link Deer Extension)	
DS3_997.HPE	E =(Extended), F	IPE = (High Pass Extension)	
DS4_997.E17	E17 = (Extended)	up to 1/MHz)	
DO4 007 500	This represents i	hoise floor "NF_997" (at -112dBm/Hz), do we really need it ???	
DS4_997.E30	E30 = (Extended)	up to 30MHz)	
DS4_997.HPE17	HPE1/ = (Extended)	dea up to 30MHz)	
DS4 997.HPE30	HPE30 = (Extended)	aeg up to JUIVIHZ)	

ED NOTE The definition of several bands does not take advantage of the algorithmic nature of the model. Those that do represent a level equal to the noise floor are superflouos, and I suggest to remove them. The HPE ones are not representing a contiguous frequency band, and are therefore nor representing a **second**, or **third** band. I suggest to reshuffle them a bit so that DS2 is indeed a second band, and DS3 indeed a third one.

	DS1L.A_998	DS1L.B_998
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
0	-100	-100
3999	-100	-100
4000	-96	-96
80000	-76	interp
101200	interp	-96
137999	-47.7	interp
138000	-40	interp
227110	interp	-65.5
275999	interp	-52
276000	interp	-40
1104000	-40	-40
1622000	-50	-50
2208000	-51.5	-51.5

Table 2: Pre-defined PSD bands for DS1L (lower part of DS1).

	DS1L.A_997	DS1L.M_M1_997	DS1L.M_M2_997	DS1L.A_M2_997	DS1L_997.HPE
f	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
0	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100
3999	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100
4000	-96	-96	-96	-96	-100
80000	-76	-96	-96	-76	-100
101200	Interp	-96	-96	Interp	-100
137999	-53	Interp	Interp	-47.7	-100
138000	-53	Interp	Interp	-40	-100
227110	-53	-65.5	-65.5	-40	-100
275999	-53	-52	-52	-40	-100
276000	-53	-40	-40	-40	-100
1104000	-53	-40	-40	-40	-100
1622000	-53	-50	-50	-50	-100

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offered by the algorithmic approach. By simply removing DS1L_997.HPE_completely you get the same

Table 3: Pre-defined PSD bands for DS1U (upper part of DS1).

	DS1U.M1_998	DS1U.M2_998
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
2208001	-51.5	-51.5
2249000	-53	interp
2500000	-60	interp
3749999	-60	-54.7
3750000	-83.5	-83.5
3894760	-100	-100
3999999	-100	-100
4000000	-110	-110

ETSI TC/ATTM TM6 meeting, Nov 19-23, 2007 Sophia Antipolis, France

	DS1U.A_997	DS1U.M_997	DS1U.M2_997	DS1U_997.HPE
f	Р	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
1622000	-53	-50	-50	-100
2208000	-53	-51.5	Interp	-100
2236000	-53	Interp	Interp	-100
2249000	-60	Interp	Interp	-100
2423000	-60	-56.5	Interp	-100
2500000	-60	-56.5	Interp	-100
2999999	-60	-83.5	-53.1	-100
3000000	-83.5	-100	-83	-100
3175000	-100	-100	-100	-100

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offered by the algorithmic approach. By simply removing DS1U_997.HPE_completely you get the same

	DS2.M1_998	DS2.M2_998
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
5055624	-110	-110
5055625	-100	-100
5199999	-83.5	-83.5
5200000	-60	-56.2
8499999	-60	-58.3
8500000	-83.5	-83.5
8644566	-100	-100
8644567	-112	-112

Table 4: Pre-defined PSD bands for DS2.

	DS2.A_997	DS2.M2_997	DS2_997.HPE
f	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
3175000	-100	-100	-100
3999999	-100	-100	-100
400000	-110	-110	-110
4925000	-110	-110	interp
5099999	-83.5	-83.5	-110
5100000	-60	-56.1	-112
5200000	interp	Interp	interp
superfluous?			
6875000	interp	Interp	-112
7049999	-60	-57.5	-83.5
7050000	-83.5	-83.5	-60
7225000	-112	-112	-60

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offerd/ed by the algorithmic approach. By simply omitting them, and combine it with the relevant noise floor, you get the same

ED NOTE The definition of DS2_997.HPE is very weird. Shouldn't is be merged with DS3_997.HPE as a single band, by simply extending the frequencies in this table until it sinks below the noise floor. It **IS** indeed a second band, so re-specify it as a single band up to

	DS3_998.E17	DS3_998.ADE17	DS3_998.E30	DS3_998.ADE30
f	Р	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
11855638		-112		-112
11855639		-100		-100
11999999		-83.5		-83.5
12000000		-60		-60
13855658	-112	interp	-112	interp
13855659	-100	interp	-100	interp
13999999	-83.5	interp	-83.5	interp
14000000	-60	interp	-60	interp
17664000	-60	-60	interp	interp
21000000	-83.5	-83.5	interp	interp
21372373	-100	-100	interp	interp
21372374	-112	-112	interp	interp
21449999			-60	interp
21450000			-83.5	interp
21594776			-100	interp
21594777			-112	interp
24889999				-60
24890000				-83.5
25034810				-100
25034811				-112

Table 5: Pre-defined PSD bands for DS3.

	DS3_997.E14	DS3_997.HPE
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
7225000	-112	-60
10124999	-112	-60
10125000	-112	-83.5
10300000	-112	-112
11825000	-112	-112
11999999	-83.5	-112
12000000	-60	-112
13825000	-60	-112
13999999	-60	-112
1400000	-83.5	-83.5
14175000	-112	-60
17664000	-112	-60

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offerd/ed by the algorithmic approach. By simply omitting them, and combine it with the relevant noise floor, you get the same

ED NOTE The definition of DS3_997.HPE is very weird. I suggest to merge one variant it upto 10.3MHz with DS2_997.HPE and another variant up to 21.62Mhz since those comb junctions are indeed a second band

Table 6: Pre-defined PSD bands for DS4.

	DS4_998.E30
f	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
24745527	-112
24745528	-100
24889999	-83.5
24890000	-60
29999999	-60
3000000	-83.5
30096499	-100
30096500	-112

	DS4_997.E17	DS4_997.E30	DS4_997.HPE	DS4_997.HPE30
f	Р	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
17664000	-112	-112	-60	-60
19325000	-112	-112	Interp	-60
19499999	-112	-83.5	Interp	-60
19500000	-112	-60	Interp	-60
21000000	-112	-60	-83.5	-60
21449999	-112	-60	-112	-60
21450000	-112	-60	-112	-83.5
21625000	-112	-60	-112	-112
24715000	-112	-60	-112	-112
24889999	-112	-60	-112	-83.5
24890000	-112	-60	-112	-60
26999999	-112	-60	-112	-60
27000000	-112	-83.5	-112	-60
27175000	-112	-112	-112	-60
29999999	-112	-112	-112	-60
30000000	-112	-112	-112	-83.5
30175000	-112	-112	-112	-112

ED NOTE The definition of DS4_997.HPE is very weird. I suggest to merge it with some DS3 band to make such combination indeed a third band.

ED_NOTE_The definition of DS4_997.HPE30 is very weird. I suggest to merge it up to 21.62MHz with DS3_997.HPE since such combination **IS** indeed a third band. Then the specification from 24.715 MHz becomes really a fourth band.

1.1.2 0.0.2 Pre-defined upstream tables for "PSD Band Constructor"

The PSD band constructor in building block #1 can be controlled via an arbitrary number of PSD bands. Pre-defined PSD bands for upstream transmission are summarized in table 8 to 12 and specified by means of breakpoints. Each PSD bands has its own (unique) identifier (summarized in table 7), for convenient referencing. A full VDSL2 transmit signal can be built-up from a proper selection of these PSD bands. Examples of meaningful combinations can be found in table 13.

The values are constructed from the breakpoints of G993.2 masks [2], roughly by correcting 3.5dB difference between mask and template for in-band frequencies, and roughly by corrected the PSD according to the constraints in 1 MHz resolution bands for out-of-band frequencies. In addition, some of the pre-defined values are adjusted via a pragmatic compromise between simplicity and ITU details.

Table 7: Overview of pre-defined PSD bands for upstream.

upstream identifiers for PSD bands (Bandplan 998)	upstream identifiers for PSD bands (Bandplan 997)	Remarks on the naming convention	
US0.A_998		A = (like in annex A of [5], for over POTS)	
US0.B_998		B = (like in annex B of [5], for over ISDN)	
US0.M_998		M = (like in annex M of [5])	
US1.M1_998	Tables defining	M1=(name for regular mask)	
US1.M2_998	PSD bands	M2=(name for boosted mask)	
US2.M1_998	suitable for band	M1=(name for regular mask)	
US2.M2_998	plan 997 are left	M2=(name for boosted mask)	
US3_998	for further study		
US3_998.ADE			
US4_998			
NOTE: ADE = Asymmetric Downstream Extension			

upstream	Remarks on the naming convention			
identifiers				
tor PSD bands				
	Bandplan 998			
US0.A_998	A = (like in annex A of [5], for over POTS)			
US0.B_998	B = (like in annex B of [5], for over ISDN)			
US0.M_998	M = (like in annex M of [5])			
US1.M1_998	M1=(name for regular mask)			
US1.M2_998	M2=(name for boosted mask)			
US2.M1_998	M1=(name for regular mask)			
US2.M2_998	M2=(name for boosted mask)			
US3_998				
US3_998.ADE	ADE = (<u>A</u> symmetric <u>D</u> ownstream <u>E</u> xtension)			
US4_998	US4_998			
	Bandplan 997			
US0.A_997	A = (like in annex A of [5], for over POTS)			
US0.M_997	M = (like in annex M of [5])			
US1.M1_997	M1 = (Name for regular mask)			
US1.M2_997	M2 = (Name for boosted mask)			
US2.M1_997	M1 = (Name for regular mask)			
US2.M2_997	M2 = (Name for boosted mask)			
US2_997.HPE	HPE = (High Pass Extension)			
US3_997.E17	E17 = (Extended to 17.664MHz)			
US3_997.E30	E30 = (Extended to 30MHz)			
US3_997.HPE	HPE = (High Pass Extension)			
US4_997.E30	E30 = (Extended to 30MHz)			
US4_997.HPE30	HPE30 = (Extended to 30MHz)			

The HPE ones are not representing a contiguous frequency band, and are therefore nor representing a second band. I suggest to merge US2_997.HPE_and US3_997.HPE_so that DS2 is indeed a second band.

	US0.A_998	S0.A_998 US0.B_998	
f	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
0	-100	-100	-100
3999	-100	-100	-100
4000	-96	-96	-96
25875	-38	-96	-41
50000	interp	-93.5	interp
80000	interp	-85.3	interp
120000	interp	-38	interp
138000	-38	interp	interp
243000	-96.7	interp	interp
276000	interp	-38	-41
405125	-100	interp	interp
486810	interp	interp	-100
501500	interp	-100	interp
686000	-100	-100	-100

Table 8: Pre-defined PSD bands for US0.

	US0.A_997	US0.M_997	
f	Р	Р	
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	
0	-100	-100	
3999	-100	-100	
4000	-96	-96	
25875	-38	-41	
50000	-38	-41	
80000	-38	-41	
120000	-38	-41	
138000	-38	-41	
225000	Interp	-41	
243000	-96.7	-41	
276000	Interp -41		
493410	Interp -100		
686000	-100	-100	
282500	-100	-100	

ED NOTE Do we really need the (red) values at 50, 80 and 120 kHz?. It is superfluous, since interpolation gives you the same results. The frequency "282500" is probably wrong, but since ity is at the noise floor you can skip it. The same applies for frequency "686000" and "0"

	US1.M1_998	US1.M2_998
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
3575001	-100	-100
3605175	-100	-100
3749999	-83.5	-83.5
3750000	-60	-54.7
5199999	-60	-56.2
5200000	-83.5	-83.5
5344693	-100	-100
5344694	-112	-112

Table 9: Pre-defined PSD bands for US1.

	US1.M1_997	US1.M2_997
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
2825000	-100	-100
2999999	-83.5	-83.5
300000	-60	-53.8
3575000	-60	Interp
3750000	-60	Interp
5099999	-60	-56.1
5100000	-83.5	-83.5
5275000	-112	-112

ED NOTE Do we really need the (red) values at 3.575 and 3.75 MHz?. It is superfluous, since interpolation gives you the same results

	US2.M1_998	US2.M2_998
f	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
8355624	-112	-112
8355625	-100	-100
8499999	-83.5	-83.5
8500000	-60	-58.3
1000000	interp	-59
12000000	-60	-59
12000001	-83.5	-83.5
12144761	-100	-100
12144762	-112	-112

Table 11: Pre-defined PSD bands for US2 (<12 MHz).

	US2.M1_997	US2.M2_997	US2_997.HPE
f	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
5275000	-112	-112	-112
6875000	-112	-112	-112
7049999	-83.5	-83.5	-112
7050000	-60	-57.5	-112
8325000	Interp	Interp	-112
9950000	Interp	Interp	-112
10124999	Interp	-59	-83.5
10125000	Interp	Interp	-60
11999999	-60	-59	Interp
12000000	-83.5	-80	Interp
12175000	-112	-112	-60

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor (-112 dBm/Hz) is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offered by the algorithmic approach. By simply omitting them, and combine it with the relevant noise floor, you get the same. The frequencies in red can then be removed as well

ED NOTE The definition of US3_997.HPE is very weird because it does stop at the high end. I suggest to merge it US3_997.HP, since that combination is indeed a second band

	US3_998	US4_998	US3_998.ADE
f	Р	Р	Р
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]
10350000	-112		
10350001	-100		
11999999	-83.5		
12000000	-60		
14000000	-60		
14000001	-83.5		
14144781	-100		
14144782	-112		
21305249		-112	
21305250		-110	
21449999		-83.5	
21450000		-60	
24745847		interp	-112
24745848		interp	-100
24889999		-60	-83.5
24890000		-83.5	-60
25034810		-100	interp
25034811		-112	interp
29999999			-60
3000000			-83.5
30096499			-100
30096500			-112

Table 12: Pre-defined PSD bands for US3 and US4 (>12 MHz).

	US3_997.HPE	US3_997.E17	US3_997.E30	US4_997.HPE30	US4_997.E30
f	Р	Р	Р		
[Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]	[dBm/Hz]		
12175000	-60	-112	-112		
13825000	-60	-112	-112		
13999999	-60	-80	-80		
14000000	-83.5	-60	-60		
14175000	-112	Interp	Interp		
17664000	-112	-60	Interp		
19499999	-112	-83.5	-60		
19500000	-112	-83.5	-83.5		
19675000	-112	-112	-112	-112	-112
21275000				-112	-112
21449999				-83.5	-112
21450000				-60	-112
24889999				-60	-112
24890000				-83.5	-112
25065000				-112	-112
26825000				-112	-112
26999999				-112	-83.5
2700000				-112	-60
29999999				-112	-60
3000000				-112	-83.5
30175000				-112	-112

ED NOTE The definition of US3_997.HPE is very weird. I suggest to merge it US2_997.HP, and remove it from above table since that combination is indeed a second band

ED NOTE The definition the band at the level noise floor (-112 dBm/Hz) is superfluous, and therefore lacks the simplicity offered by the algorithmic approach. By simply omitting them, and combine it with the relevant noise floor, you get the same.

End of literal text proposal

1.2 References

Table 13: Full elaboration of the VDSL2 transmit PSD for a few profiles within limiting mask "B8-4".

- [1] ETSI TS 101 270-1 (V1.3.1): "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Access transmission systems on metallic access cables; Very high speed Digital Subscriber Line (VDSL); Part 1: Functional requirements".
- [2] ITU-T Recommendation G993.2: "Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line 2 (VDSL2)", March 2006.
- [3] ITU-T Recommendation G997.1: "Physical layer management for digital subscriber line (DSL) receivers", june 2006.
- [4] ETSI TS 101 271 (draft): "Transmission and Multiplexing (TM); Access transmission systems on metallic access cables; Very high speed Digital Subscriber Line (VDSL2)".
- [5] ITU-T Recommendation G.992.5: "Asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL) transceivers extended bandwidth ADSL2 (ADSL2plus)".